

REMARKS— General

This amendment is in response to the office action by examiner Mr. James H. Zurita, mailed 3/27/2007 for Application No. 10/757,432 originally filed on 1/15/2007, and having confirmation no. 4097.

It has been noted in the DETAILED ACTION section of the office response that there are two inventions, Invention I (corresponding to claims 1-25) and Invention II (corresponding to claims 26-27). It is further noted that the examiner recommends that the Applicant select one and only one of these inventions for this patent application.

Applicants respectfully select Invention I corresponding to claims 1-25 for this patent application. As such, Applicants request that claims 26 and 27 be cancelled. Applicants plan to file a separate divisional patent application for Invention II corresponding to claims 26 and 27.

Claim 26 has been cancelled for the following reasons:

This is an independent claim for a separate invention (Invention II) as per the reasons outlined in the office action response.

Claim 27 has been cancelled for the following reasons:

This claim is dependent on claim 26 and is for the same separate invention (Invention II) as per the reasons outlined in the office action response.

Applicants respectfully request that a timely Notice of Allowance be issued in this case.

Respectfully submitted,

By _____,
Glenn R Seidman,
Dr. Glenn R Seidman
Tel. (650) 678-7147